

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/042,460

03/16/98

MORIN

G

015389003110

HM12/1129

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER

8TH FLOOR

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

GREGORY P. EINHORN

EXAMINER

KAUSHAL, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1633

DATE MAILED:

11/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. **09/042,460**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

SUMESH KAUSHAL

MORIN et al

Group Art Unit 1633



l	
T	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
A b	pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 7, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect ut is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The proposed amendment(s):
	☐ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The telomerase activity associted with a telomerse RNA would require further consideration and/or search.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Noviky proposed as a second at the second at
L	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	tot dilowatice because.
	The claims stand rejected under 35 USC 112(1) for the Writen desctiption and Enablement issuses for the same reasons of record as set forth in the official action amiled on 07/05/00.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claims allowed:
	Claims rejected: 20-28
	The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Other DEBORAH J. R. CLARK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600